

**Rule 21 Working Group Meeting**  
**November 29, 2001**

**Riverside Public Utilities**  
**3460 Orange Street**  
**Riverside, CA**  
**Meeting Agenda**  
**9:30 am – 4:00 pm**

**Morning Session (9:30 am to 12:30 pm)**

- Introductions
- Next meeting dates:
- Dec. 12: Utility Advice Letter Deadline – Meeting in Sacramento, Energy Commission, Hearing Room B
- Dec 13: Supplemental Review language – Meeting in Sacramento, Energy Commission, Hearing Room B
- FERC Interconnection Update
- Chuck W. attended Nov 27 meeting in Denver
- FERC has Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NOPR), with a large interconnection workgroup – split into interest groups called caucuses;
- Chuck attended States caucus
- Group is concerned Wholesale Distribution, basically systems larger than 20MW
- Chuck advocated a single standard for all sizes; this was a new viewpoint
- Chuck will identify 1. State using our Initial Review Process; 2. CA attorney who promised to introduce the group to Rule 21
- Written Trip Report to follow
- IEEE update
- Progress has been slow, but is still being made
- Trip Report to follow
- PG&E released a hard copy list of DG interconnections
- Discussion whether Non-parallel systems are under Rule 21: PG&E says no, SCE says yes. Tom D. of SCE suggests that all customer

generation is covered under Rule 21 (distribution system level). Tech requirements for Isolated systems are minimal, fee is \$0. This could be handled in sections C & D in this round of Advice Letter filings (Tom D.) Dylan S. asked whether there would be additional liability to the utility. Tom D suggests the EC “may” collect the information rather than “shall”. Scott T. suggests that this should be deferred to next year; asked PG&E to continue to list the Isolated units in their Status Report.

- Rule 21 Language Refinement (Continued)

**Lunch (12:30 pm to 1:30 pm)**

**Afternoon Session (1:30 pm to 4:00 pm)**

- Rule 21 Language Refinement (Continued)
- Next Meeting and Location